

ALAN R. BRAYTON, ESQ., S.B. #73685  
 DAVID R. DONADIO, ESQ., S.B. #154436  
 BRAYTON❖PURCELL LLP  
 Attorneys at Law  
 222 Rush Landing Road  
 P.O. Box 6169  
 Novato, California 94948-6169  
 (415) 898-1555  
 (415) 898-1247 (Fax No.)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

RUDOLPH HANNA,  
 Plaintiff,

vs.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,  
 TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION,  
 NATIONAL STEEL AND  
 SHIPBUILDING COMPANY,  
 Defendants.

No. C08-980-JSW

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION TO  
 STAY PROCEEDING OR, IN THE  
 ALTERNATIVE, TO CONTINUE CASE  
 MANAGEMENT DEADLINE AND  
 CONFERENCE, AND TO EXTEND TIME;  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY;  
 [PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE] ORDER  
 TO CONTINUE

Pursuant to Civil L. R. 7-11 and 7-12, the following parties hereby stipulate to, and respectfully move the Court for, an Order extending time as set forth in the *Case Management Scheduling Order* filed February 15, 2008 (Document 3) , and the *Order Setting Case Management Conference and Requiring Joint Case Management Conference Statement*, filed March 19, 2008 (Document 10) for the following good cause:

On March 25, 2008, Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY filed Document 17, a Notice to Tag Along Action regarding the pending Multidistrict Litigation (“MDL”) in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, seeking among other things, to move Jurisdiction of this matter to that District. Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY has faxed counsel copy of a cover letter showing that this notice was mailed to the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation

1 (“JPML”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, said notice to the JPML from counsel being necessary  
2 to initiate the transfer process.

3 On July 29, 1991, the JPML entered an order transferring all asbestos personal injury  
4 cases pending in the federal courts to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of  
5 Pennsylvania, for coordinated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. (*In re Asbestos*  
6 *Products Liability Litigation* (no. VI). MDL No. 875., 771 F.Supp. 415 (1991)). That order also  
7 applies to “tag-along actions,” or actions involving common questions of fact filed after January  
8 17, 1991. Such actions are to be transferred to the eastern District of Pennsylvania as part of  
9 MDL 875, for coordinated pretrial proceedings.

10 The JPML has held that a district court has the authority to stay pending a transfer order.  
11 *In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation*, 170 F. Supp. 2d 1348, 1349 n.1 (J.P.M.L. 2001)  
12 (“[T]hose courts concluding that such issues should be addressed by the transferee judge need  
13 not rule on them, and the process of 1407 transfer in MDL-875 can continue without any  
14 unnecessary interruption or delay.”)

15 The parties agree that it is likely that the JPML will transfer this matter to the Eastern  
16 District of Pennsylvania.

17 However, to date, the Clerk of the JPML has not entered a *Conditional Transfer Order*  
18 pursuant to JPML Rule 12(a) or filed an order to show cause why the action should not be  
19 transferred, pursuant to JPML Rule 13(b).

20 It is likely the dates set forth in the *Case Management Scheduling Order* including the  
21 deadlines imposed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26, will come to pass **before** the Clerk of  
22 the JPML acts.

23 The parties make this Motion on the grounds that a stay of this action would (a) promote  
24 judicial efficiency, (b) allow consistency in pretrial rulings, and (c) be most convenient to the  
25 parties.

26 Due to the pending action by the Clerk of the JPML, the parties hereby STIPULATE to  
27 and respectfully request the Court VACATE its *Case Management Scheduling Order* and that  
28 the Court issue an Order STAYING this action pending the outcome of the MDL Panel’s

1 decision on the merits of the transfer.

2 In the alternative, the parties hereby STIPULATE to and respectfully request that the  
 3 dates set forth in the *Case Management Scheduling Order* be vacated and continued pending the  
 4 outcome of the JPML's decision on the merits of the transfer. Specifically, these deadlines<sup>1</sup> in  
 5 this matter include the **May 16, 2008** Rule 26 deadline to meet and confer, the **May 16, 2008**  
 6 deadline to file a Joint ADR Certification, the **May 30, 2008** Deadline to complete Initial  
 7 Disclosures, the **June 6, 2008** deadline to file the Joint Case Management Statement and the  
 8 **June 13, 2008** Case Management Conference.

9 Dated: March 31, 2008

BRAYTON❖PURCELL LLP

/s/ David R. Donadio

11 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 12 David R. Donadio  
 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14 Dated: April 2, 2008

SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN &  
 15 ARNOLD, LLP

/s/ Derek S. Johnson

17 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 18 Derek S. Johnson  
 19 Attorneys for Defendant  
 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

20 Dated: March 31, 2008

YARON & ASSOCIATES

/s/ George D. Yaron

22 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 23 George D. Yaron  
 24 Keith E. Patterson  
 25 Attorneys for Defendant TODD  
 SHIPYARDS CORPORATION

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
 27 <sup>1</sup>*Case Management Scheduling Order* filed February 15, 2008 (Document 3) provides  
 28 that "[i]f the Initial Case Management Conference is continued, the other deadlines are  
 continued accordingly." Therefore these dates are calculated pursuant to FRCivP 26, ADR  
 L.R. 3-5, Civil L.R. 16-8 and 16-9 and *Order* of March 19, 2008 (Document 10)

1 Dated: April 1, 2008

BRYDON, HUGO & PARKER

2 /s/ P.M. Bessette

3 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
4 Edward R. Hugo  
5 P.M. Bessette  
6 Attorneys for Defendant  
NATIONAL STEEL AND  
SHIPBUILDING COMPANY

7 [PROPOSED] **ORDER TO STAY**

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the *Case*  
9 *Management Scheduling Order* filed February 15, 2008 (Document 3) , and the *Order Setting*  
10 *Case Management Conference and Requiring Joint Case Management Conference Statement*,  
11 filed March 19, 2008 (Document 10) are hereby VACATED and that this action is STAYED  
12 pending the outcome of the JPML's decision on the merits of the transfer.

13  
14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

15  
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 James S. White  
United States District Judge

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 [PROPOSED *ALTERNATIVE*] **ORDER TO CONTINUE**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the *Case*  
3 *Management Scheduling Order* filed February 15, 2008 (Document 3) , and the *Order Setting*  
4 *Case Management Conference and Requiring Joint Case Management Conference Statement*,  
5 filed March 19, 2008 (Document 10) are hereby VACATED.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following case management deadlines are  
7 continued as follows:

8 1. The Case Management Conference is [set for a date after August 1, 2008 to wit:]  
9 \_\_\_\_\_, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 2, 17th Floor, Federal Building, 450  
10 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California.

11 2. Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process  
12 selection, and discovery plan is 21 days before the Case Management Conference in paragraph 1,  
13 above.

14 3. Last day to file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR process or  
15 Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference is 21 days before the Case Management Conference  
16 in paragraph 1, above.

17 4. Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection to Rule 26(f) Report,  
18 file/serve Case Management Statement and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report is 5 court days before  
19 the Case Management Conference in paragraph 1, above.

20 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
21

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 James S. White  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28